

A Classification of Plane Symmetric Kinematic Self-Similar Solutions

M. Sharif ^{*} and Sehar Aziz [†]

Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab,
Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

Abstract

In this paper we provide a classification of plane symmetric kinematic self-similar perfect fluid and dust solutions. In the perfect fluid and dust cases, kinematic self-similar vectors for the tilted, orthogonal and parallel cases have been explored in the first, second, zeroth and infinite kinds with different equations of state. We obtain total of eleven plane symmetric kinematic self-similar solutions out of which six are independent. The perfect fluid case gives two solutions for infinite tilted and infinite orthogonal kinds. In the dust case, we have four independent solutions in the first orthogonal, infinite tilted, infinite orthogonal and infinite parallel kinds. The remaining cases provide contradiction. It is interesting to mention that some of these solutions turn out to be vacuum.

Keywords: Plane symmetry, Self-similar variable.

1 Introduction

General Theory of Relativity (GR), which is a field theory of gravitation and is described in terms of geometry, is highly non-linear. Because of this non-linearity, it becomes very difficult to solve the gravitational field equations

^{*}msharif@math.pu.edu.pk

[†]sehar_aziz@yahoo.com

unless certain symmetry restrictions are imposed on the spacetime metric. These symmetry restrictions are expressed in terms of isometries possessed by spacetimes. These isometries, which are also called Killing Vectors (KVs), give rise to conservation laws [1].

There has been a recent literature [2-8, and references therein] which shows a significant interest in the study of various symmetries. These symmetries arise in the exact solutions of Einstein field equations (EFEs) given by

$$G_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab}, \quad (1)$$

where G_{ab} represents the components of Einstein tensor, R_{ab} are the components of Ricci tensor and T_{ab} are the components of matter (or energy-momentum) tensor, R is the Ricci scalar and κ is the gravitational constant. The geometrical nature of a spacetime is expressed by the metric tensor through EFEs.

Self-similarity is very helpful in simplifying the field equations. Self-similarity leads to the reduction of the governing equations from partial differential equations to ordinary differential equations, whose mathematical treatment is relatively simple. Although self-similar solutions are only special solutions, they often play an important role as an intermediate attractor. There does not exist any characteristic scale in Newtonian gravity or GR. Invariance of the field equations under a scale transformation indicates that there exist scale invariant solutions to the EFEs. These solutions are known as self-similar solutions.

In order to obtain realistic solutions of gravitational collapse leading to star formation, self-similar solutions have been investigated by many authors in Newtonian gravity [9]. There exist several preferred geometric structures in self-similar models, a number of natural approaches may be used in studying them. The three most common ones are the co-moving, homothetic and Schwarzschild approaches. In this paper, we shall use the co-moving approach. In the co-moving approach, pioneered by Cahill and Taub [10], the coordinates are adopted to the fluid 4-velocity vector. This probably affords the best physical insight and is the most convenient one. In GR, self-similarity is defined by the existence of a homothetic vector (HV) field. Such similarity is called the first kind (or homothety or continuous self similarity (CSS)). There exists a natural generalization of homothety called kinematic self-similarity, which is defined by the existence of a kinematic self-similar (KSS) vector field. Kinematic self-similarity is characterized by an index α/δ

(similarity index) and can be classified into three kinds. The basic condition characterizing a manifold vector field ξ as a self-similar generator is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi A = \lambda A, \quad (2)$$

where λ is a constant, A is independent physical field and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ denotes the Lie derivative along ξ . This field can be scalar (e.g., μ), vector (e.g., u_a) or tensor (e.g., g_{ab}). In GR, the gravitational field is represented by the metric tensor g_{ab} , and an appropriate definition of geometrical self-similarity is necessary.

The vector field ξ can have three cases, i.e., parallel, orthogonal and tilted. They are distinguished by the relation between the generator and a timelike vector field, which is identified as the fluid flow, if it exists. The tilted case is the most general among them.

The self-similar idea of Cahil and Taub [10] corresponds to Newtonian self-similarity of the homothetic class. Carter and Henriksen [11,12] defined the other kinds of self-similarity namely second, zeroth and infinite kinds. In the context of kinematic self-similarity, homothety is considered as the first kind. Several authors have explored KSS perfect fluid solutions. The only compatible barotropic equation of state with self-similarity of the first kind is

$$p = k\rho. \quad (3)$$

Carr [2] has classified the self-similar perfect fluid solutions of the first kind in the dust case ($k = 0$). The case $0 < k < 1$ has been studied by Carr and Coley [3]. Coley [13] has shown that the FRW solution is the only spherically symmetric homothetic perfect fluid solution in the parallel case. McIntosh [14] has discussed that a stiff fluid ($k = 1$) is the only compatible perfect fluid with the homothety in the orthogonal case. Benoit and Coley [15] have studied analytic spherically symmetric solutions of the EFE's coupled with a perfect fluid and admitting a KSS vector of the first, second and zeroth kinds.

Carr et al. [16] have considered the KSS associated with the critical behavior observed in the gravitational collapse of spherically symmetric perfect fluid with equation of state $p = k\rho$. They showed for the first time the global nature of these solutions and showed that it is sensitive to the value of α . Carr et al. [17], further, investigated solution space of self-similar spherically symmetric perfect fluid models and physical aspects of these solutions. They combine the state space description of the homothetic approach with the use

of the physically interesting quantities arising in the co-moving approach. Coley and Goliath [18] have investigated self-similar spherically symmetric cosmological models with a perfect fluid and a scalar field with an exponential potential.

Gravitational collapse is one of the fundamental problems in GR. Self-similar gravitational collapse and critical collapse provides information about the collapse of an object. The collapse generally has three kinds of possible final states. First is simply the halt of the processes in a self-sustained object or the description of a matter field or gravitational field. The second is the formation of black holes with outgoing gravitational radiation and matter, while the third is the formation of naked singularities. Critical collapse in the context of self-similarity gives the information about the mass of black holes formed as a result of collapse.

Recently, Maeda et al. [4,5] studied the KSS vector of the second, zeroth and infinite kinds in the tilted case. They assumed the perfect fluid spacetime obeying a relativistic polytropic equation of state. Further, they assumed two kinds of polytropic equation of state and showed that such spacetimes must be vacuum in both cases. They explored the case in which a KSS vector is not only tilted to the fluid flow but also parallel or orthogonal. The same authors [6] have also discussed the classification of the spherically symmetric KSS perfect fluid and dust solutions. This analysis has provided some interesting solutions.

In a recent paper, Sharif and Sehar [8] have investigated the KSS solutions for the cylindrically symmetric spacetimes. The analysis has been extensively given for the perfect and dust cases with tilted, parallel and orthogonal vectors by using different equations of state. Some interesting consequences have been developed. The same authors have also studied the properties of such solutions for spherically symmetric [19], cylindrically symmetric [20] and plane symmetric spacetimes [21].

The group G_3 contains two special cases of particular physical interest: spherical and plane symmetry. In this paper we shall use the same procedure to investigate KSS solutions for the plane symmetric spacetimes. The paper has been organised as follows. In section 2, we shall discuss KSS vector of different kinds for the plane symmetric spacetimes. Section 3 is devoted to titled perfect fluid case. In section 4, we shall find out the titled dust solutions. Sections 5 and 6 are used to explore the orthogonal perfect fluid and dust solutions respectively. Sections 7 and 8 are devoted to parallel perfect fluid and dust cases. Finally, we shall summarise and discuss all the

results.

2 Plane Symmetric Spacetime and Kinematic Self-Similarity

A plane symmetric Lorentzian manifold is defined to be the manifold which admits the group $SO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ as the minimal isometry group in such a way that the group orbits are spacelike surfaces of zero curvature, where $SO(2)$ corresponds to a rotation and $\mathbb{R}^2$ to the translations along spatial directions y and z . The metric for the most general plane symmetric spacetime has the following form [22]

$$ds^2 = e^{2\nu(t,x)} dt^2 - e^{2\mu(t,x)} dx^2 - e^{2\lambda(t,x)} (dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (4)$$

where ν , μ and λ are arbitrary functions of t and x . It has three isometries given as $\xi_1 = \partial_x$, $\xi_2 = \partial_y$, $\xi_3 = x\partial_y - y\partial_x$. This metric can further be classified according to the additional isometries it admits. For the sake of simplicity, we take the coefficient of dx^2 as unity. The corresponding metric reduces to

$$ds^2 = e^{2\nu(t,x)} dt^2 - dx^2 - e^{2\lambda(t,x)} (dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (5)$$

The energy-momentum tensor for a perfect fluid can be written as

$$T_{ab} = [\rho(t, x) + p(t, x)] u_a u_b - p(t, x) g_{ab}, \quad (a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3). \quad (6)$$

where ρ is the density, p is the pressure and u_a is the four-velocity of the fluid element. In the co-moving coordinate system, the four-velocity can be written as $u_a = (e^{\nu(t,x)}, 0, 0, 0)$. The EFEs become

$$\kappa\rho = e^{-2\nu} \lambda_t^2 - 3\lambda_x^2 - 2\lambda_{xx}, \quad (7)$$

$$0 = \lambda_{tx} - \lambda_t \nu_x + \lambda_t \lambda_x, \quad (8)$$

$$\kappa p = \lambda_x^2 + 2\lambda_x \nu_x - e^{-2\nu} (2\lambda_{tt} - 2\lambda_t \nu_t + 3\lambda_t^2), \quad (9)$$

$$\kappa p = \nu_{xx} + \nu_x^2 + \nu_x \lambda_x + \lambda_x^2 + \lambda_{xx} - e^{-2\nu} (\lambda_{tt} - \lambda_t \nu_t + \lambda_t^2). \quad (10)$$

The conservation of energy-momentum tensor, $T^{ab}{}_{;b} = 0$, provides the following two equations

$$\lambda_t = -\frac{\rho_t}{2(\rho + p)}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\nu_x = \frac{p_x}{(\rho + p)}. \quad (12)$$

The general form of a vector field ξ , for a plane symmetric spacetime, can take the following form

$$\xi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = h_1(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + h_2(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad (13)$$

where h_1 and h_2 are arbitrary functions. When ξ is parallel to the fluid flow, $h_2 = 0$ and when ξ is orthogonal to the fluid flow $h_1 = 0$. When both h_1 and h_2 are non-zero, ξ is tilted to the fluid flow.

A KSS vector ξ satisfies the following conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi h_{ab} = 2\delta h_{ab}, \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi u_a = \alpha u_a, \quad (15)$$

where $h_{ab} = g_{ab} - u_a u_b$ is the projection tensor, α and δ are constants. The similarity transformation is characterized by the scale independent ratio, α/δ . This ratio is referred as the similarity index which yields the following two cases according as:

1. $\delta \neq 0$,
2. $\delta = 0$.

Case 1: If $\delta \neq 0$ it can be chosen as unity and the KSS vector for the titled case can take the following form

$$\xi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = (\alpha t + \beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \quad (16)$$

For this case, the similarity index, α/δ , further yields the following three different possibilities.

- (i) $\delta \neq 0, \quad \alpha = 1 \quad (\beta \text{ can be taken to be zero}),$
- (ii) $\delta \neq 0, \quad \alpha = 0 \quad (\beta \text{ can be taken to be unity}),$
- (iii) $\delta \neq 0, \quad \alpha \neq 0, 1 \quad (\beta \text{ can be taken to be zero}).$

The case 1(i) corresponds to the self-similarity of the *first kind*. In this case ξ is a homothetic vector and the self-similar variable ξ turns out to be x/t .

For the second case 1(ii), it is termed as the self-similarity of the *zeroth kind* and the self-similar variable takes the following form

$$\xi = xe^{-t}.$$

In the last case 1(iii), it is called the self-similarity of the *second kind* and the self-similar variable becomes

$$\xi = \frac{x}{(\alpha t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}.$$

It turns out that for the case (1), when $\delta \neq 0$, with the self-similar variable ξ , the metric functions become

$$\nu = \nu(\xi), \quad e^\lambda = xe^{\lambda(\xi)}. \quad (17)$$

The case (2), in which $\delta = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ (α can be unity and β can be re-scaled to zero), the self-similarity is known as the *infinite kind*. In this case, the KSS vector ξ turns out to be

$$\xi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (18)$$

and the self-similar variable will become

$$\xi = e^{\frac{x}{c}}/t,$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. The metric functions will be of the following form

$$\nu = \nu(\xi), \quad \lambda = \lambda(\xi). \quad (19)$$

Notice that for the plane symmetric spacetime, the self-similar variable of the first, second and zeroth kinds turn out to be the same as for the spherically and cylindrically symmetric spacetimes with the exception that r has been replaced by x in the plane symmetric metric. We note that for $\delta = 0 = \alpha$, the KSS vector ξ becomes KV.

If the KSS vector ξ is parallel to the fluid flow, it follows that

$$\xi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = f(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad (20)$$

where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function. It is mentioned here that the self-similar variable for spherically symmetric metric is r whereas it turns out r only

for the infinite kind in the case of cylindrically symmetric metric. In the remaining kinds, we obtain contradictory results for the cylindrically symmetric spacetime. For the plane symmetry, we obtain contradictory results in the first, second and zeroth kinds while for the infinite kind the self-similar variable turns out to be x . This implies that there does not exist any solution when ξ parallel to the fluid flow in the first, second and zeroth kinds but there may be some solution in the case of infinite kind.

When the KSS vector ξ is orthogonal to the fluid flow, we obtain

$$\xi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = g(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad (21)$$

where $g(x)$ is an arbitrary function and the self-similar variable is t .

We assume the following two types of polytropic equation of states (EOS). We denote the first equation of state by EOS(1) and is given by

$$p = k\rho^\gamma,$$

where k and γ are constants. The other EOS can be written as [17]

$$p = kn^\gamma, \\ \rho = m_b n + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1},$$

where m_b is a constant and corresponds to the baryon mass, and $n(t, r)$ corresponds to baryon number density. This equation is called second equation of state written as EOS(2). For EOS(1) and EOS(2), we take $k \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0, 1$. The third equation of state, denoted by EOS(3), is the following

$$p = k\rho.$$

Here we assume that $-1 \leq k \leq 1$ and $k \neq 0$.

For different values of γ , EOS(1) and EOS(2) have different properties. Thermodynamical instability of the fluid is shown for $\gamma < 0$. For $0 < \gamma < 1$, both EOS(1) and EOS(2) are approximated by a dust fluid in high density regime. For $\gamma > 1$, EOS(2) is approximated by EOS(3) with $k = \gamma - 1$ in high density regime. The cases $\gamma > 2$ for EOS(2) and $\gamma > 1$ for EOS(2) shows that the dominant energy condition can be violated in high density regime which is physically not interesting [5].

3 Tilted Perfect Fluid Case

3.1 Self-similarity of the first kind

Firstly, we discuss the self-similarity of the first kind for the tilted perfect fluid case. In this case, it follows from the EFEs that the energy density ρ and pressure p must take the following form

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{1}{x^2}[\rho_1(\xi) + \frac{x^2}{t^2}\rho_2(\xi)], \quad (22)$$

$$\kappa p = \frac{1}{x^2}[p_1(\xi) + \frac{x^2}{t^2}p_2(\xi)], \quad (23)$$

where the self-similar variable is $\xi = x/t$. If the EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field are satisfied for $O[(\frac{x}{t})^0]$ and $O[(\frac{x}{t})^2]$ terms separately, we obtain a set of ordinary differential equations. Thus Eqs.(7)-(12) reduce to the following

$$-\dot{\rho}_1 = 2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (24)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_2 + 2\rho_2 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (25)$$

$$\dot{p}_1 - 2p_1 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (26)$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (27)$$

$$-\rho_1 = 1 + 4\dot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\ddot{\lambda}, \quad (28)$$

$$\rho_2 = \dot{\lambda}^2 e^{-2\nu}, \quad (29)$$

$$0 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (30)$$

$$p_1 = 1 + 2\dot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\dot{\nu} + 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (31)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_2 = 2\ddot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\dot{\lambda} - 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (32)$$

$$p_1 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu} + \ddot{\nu} + \dot{\nu}^2, \quad (33)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_2 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}. \quad (34)$$

where dot (.) represents derivative with respect to $\ln(\xi)$. When we use Eq.(30) in Eq.(34), it turns out that $p_2 = 0$ which together with Eqs.(30) and (32) yields that λ is an arbitrary constant. Solving the above equations simultaneously, we get $\rho_1 = -1$ from Eq.(28) and $\rho_2 = 0$ from Eq.(29). Finally, we are left with three equations in two unknowns p_1 and ν . When we solve these equations simultaneously, we do not have such values of p_1 and ν which satisfy these three equations. Hence there is no solution in this case.

3.2 Self-similarity of the second kind

Here we discuss the self-similarity of the second kind for the tilted perfect fluid case. In this case, it follows from the EFEs that the energy density ρ and pressure p must take the following form

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{1}{x^2}[\rho_1(\xi) + \frac{x^2}{t^2}\rho_2(\xi)], \quad (35)$$

$$\kappa p = \frac{1}{x^2}[p_1(\xi) + \frac{x^2}{t^2}p_2(\xi)], \quad (36)$$

where the self-similar variable is $\xi = x/(\alpha t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. If the EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field are satisfied for $O[(\frac{x}{t})^0]$ and $O[(\frac{x}{t})^2]$ terms separately, we obtain a set of ordinary differential equations. Thus Eqs.(7)-(12) take the following form

$$\dot{\rho}_1 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (37)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_2 + 2\alpha\rho_2 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (38)$$

$$\dot{p}_1 - 2p_1 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (39)$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (40)$$

$$0 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (41)$$

$$-\rho_1 = 1 + 4\dot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\ddot{\lambda}, \quad (42)$$

$$\alpha^2\rho_2 = \dot{\lambda}^2 e^{-2\nu}, \quad (43)$$

$$p_1 = 1 + 2\dot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\dot{\nu} + 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (44)$$

$$-\alpha^2 e^{2\nu} p_2 = 2\ddot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\alpha\dot{\lambda} - 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (45)$$

$$p_1 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu} + \ddot{\nu} + \dot{\nu}^2, \quad (46)$$

$$-\alpha^2 e^{2\nu} p_2 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \alpha\dot{\lambda} - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}. \quad (47)$$

Now we solve this set of equations by using EOS (1)-(3).

3.2.1 Equations of State (1) and (2)

If a perfect fluid satisfies EOS(1) for $k \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0, 1$, Eqs.(35) and (36) become

$$\alpha = \gamma, \quad p_1 = 0 = \rho_2, \quad p_2 = \frac{k}{(8\pi G)^{(\gamma-1)\gamma^2}} \xi^{-2\gamma} \rho_1^\gamma, \quad [Case I] \quad (48)$$

or

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad p_2 = 0 = \rho_1, \quad p_1 = \frac{k}{(8\pi G)^{(\gamma-1)}\gamma^{2\gamma}}\xi^2\rho_2^\gamma. \quad [Case II] \quad (49)$$

If a perfect fluid obeys EOS(2) for $k \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0, 1$, we find from Eqs.(35) and (36) that

$$\alpha = \gamma, \quad p_1 = 0, \quad p_2 = \frac{k}{m_b^\gamma(8\pi G)^{(\gamma-1)}\gamma^2}\xi^{-2\gamma}\rho_1^\gamma = (\gamma - 1)\rho_2, \quad [Case III] \quad (50)$$

or

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad p_2 = 0, \quad p_1 = \frac{k}{m_b^\gamma(8\pi G)^{(\gamma-1)}\gamma^{2\gamma}}\xi^2\rho_2^\gamma = (\gamma - 1)\rho_1. \quad [Case IV] \quad (51)$$

In all the above cases, we obtain contradiction to the basic equations and we can conclude that there is no solution in the above mentioned cases.

3.2.2 Equation of State (3)

If a perfect fluid satisfies EOS(3), Eqs.(35) and (36) yield that

$$p_1 = k\rho_1, \quad p_2 = k\rho_2. \quad [Case V] \quad (52)$$

When $k = -1$, we have a contradiction in the basic Eqs.(37)-(47). For $k \neq -1$, we assume that $\rho_1 \neq 0$ and $\rho_2 \neq 0$. In this case, using Eqs.(37) and (38), it follows that $-2\alpha\rho_1\rho_2 - \dot{\rho}_2\rho_1 + \dot{\rho}_1\rho_2 = 0$, and from Eqs.(39) and (40), we obtain $-2\rho_1\rho_2 - \dot{\rho}_2\rho_1 + \dot{\rho}_1\rho_2 = 0$. These two expressions imply that $\rho_1\rho_2 = 0$ as $\alpha \neq 1$. For the case when $\rho_1 = 0 = p_1$ and $\rho_2 \neq 0$, we have a contradiction. The case when $\rho_2 = 0 = p_2$ and $\rho_1 \neq 0$, Eq.(43) implies that $\dot{\lambda} = 0$, i.e., $\lambda = \text{constant}$. Now Eq.(42) shows that $\rho_1 = -1$, and EOS(3) implies that $p_1 = -k$. Using these information in the basic equations, we get two values of ν from Eq.(39) and Eq.(44). Comparing these values we get $k = 1$ and then it follows that $\nu = \ln(\frac{b_0}{\xi})$ from both equations. On substituting the values of k and ν in Eq.(46), we reach at a contradiction. Hence, there is no self-similar solution in this case.

3.3 Self-similarity of the zeroth kind

This section is devoted for the discussion of the self-similar solutions of the zeroth kind. In this case, the EFEs indicate that the quantities ρ and p must

be of the form

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{1}{x^2}[\rho_1(\xi) + x^2\rho_2(\xi)], \quad (53)$$

$$\kappa p = \frac{1}{x^2}[p_1(\xi) + x^2p_2(\xi)], \quad (54)$$

where the self-similar variable is $\xi = \frac{x}{e^{-t}}$. If it is assumed that the EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field are satisfied for $O[(x)^0]$ and $O[(x)^2]$ terms separately, we obtain the following set of ordinary differential equations.

$$\dot{\rho}_1 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (55)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_2 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (56)$$

$$\dot{p}_1 - 2p_1 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (57)$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (58)$$

$$0 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (59)$$

$$-\rho_1 = 1 + 4\dot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\ddot{\lambda}, \quad (60)$$

$$\rho_2 = \dot{\lambda}^2 e^{-2\nu}, \quad (61)$$

$$p_1 = 1 + 2\dot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\dot{\nu} + 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (62)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_2 = 2\ddot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 - 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (63)$$

$$p_1 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu} + \ddot{\nu} + \dot{\nu}^2, \quad (64)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_2 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}. \quad (65)$$

3.3.1 EOS(1) and EOS(2)

These two EOS for the zeroth kind give a contradiction and hence there does not exist any solution.

3.3.2 EOS(3)

When we take a perfect fluid satisfying EOS(3), it follows from Eqs.(53) and (54) that

$$p_1 = k\rho_1, \quad p_2 = k\rho_2. \quad (66)$$

We can proceed in a similar way as in the case of self-similarity of the second kind with EOS(3). and met contradiction in each case.

3.4 Self-similarity of the infinite kind

In this section we discuss the self-similar solution of the infinite kind. For this case, the EFEs imply that the quantities ρ and p must be of the form

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{1}{t^2}\rho_1(\xi) + \frac{1}{c^2}\rho_2(\xi), \quad (67)$$

$$\kappa p = \frac{1}{t^2}p_1(\xi) + \frac{1}{c^2}p_2(\xi), \quad (68)$$

where $\xi = \frac{e^{\frac{x}{c}}}{t}$. Now if we require that the EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field are satisfied for $O[(t)^0]$ and $O[(t)^{-2}]$ terms separately, we obtain a set of ordinary differential equations. For a perfect fluid, Eqs.(7)-(12) takes the following form

$$\dot{\rho}_1 + 2\rho_1 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (69)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_2 = -2\dot{\lambda}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (70)$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_1 + p_1), \quad (71)$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = \dot{\nu}(\rho_2 + p_2), \quad (72)$$

$$0 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (73)$$

$$\rho_1 = \dot{\lambda}^2 e^{-2\nu}, \quad (74)$$

$$\rho_2 = -3\dot{\lambda}^2 - 2\ddot{\lambda}, \quad (75)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_1 = 2\ddot{\lambda} + 3\dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\dot{\lambda} - 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (76)$$

$$p_2 = \dot{\lambda}^2 + 2\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (77)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_1 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda} - \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}, \quad (78)$$

$$p_2 = \ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}^2 + \dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu} + \ddot{\nu} + \dot{\nu}^2, \quad (79)$$

respectively.

3.4.1 EOS(1) and EOS(2)

When a perfect fluid satisfies EOS(1), it can be seen from Eq.(67) and Eq.(68) that

$$p_1 = 0 = \rho_1, \quad p_2 = k(8\pi G)^{(1-\gamma)}\rho_2^\gamma. \quad [Case \ I] \quad (80)$$

For the condition given by EOS(2), it turns out that

$$p_1 = 0 = \rho_1, \quad p_2 = \frac{k}{m_b^\gamma(8\pi G)^{(\gamma-1)}}\left(\rho_2 - \frac{p_2}{(\gamma-1)}\right)^\gamma. \quad [Case \ II] \quad (81)$$

In both cases, Eq.(74) shows that $\lambda = \text{constant}$ and then Eq.(75) gives $\rho_2 = 0$. Now EOS(1) and EOS(2) show that $p_2 = 0$. We are left with Eq.(79) which gives $\ddot{\nu} + \dot{\nu}^2 = 0$ and consequently $\nu = \ln[c_1(\ln \xi - c_2)]$. Finally, we have the following vacuum solution

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \ln[ax - b \ln t - c], \quad \lambda = \text{constant}, \\ \rho_1 &= 0 = p_1, \quad \rho_2 = 0 = p_2. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

3.4.2 EOS(3)

It follows from Eqs.(67) and (68) that this equation of state gives

$$p_1 = k\rho_1, \quad p_2 = k\rho_2. \quad [Case III] \quad (83)$$

We consider the following two possibilities when $k = -1$ and $k \neq -1$. In the first case, we have

$$p_1 + \rho_1 = 0, \quad p_2 + \rho_2 = 0. \quad (84)$$

If we make use of Eqs.(69)-(72), we obtain $\rho_1 = 0 = p_1$ and $\rho_2 = -p_2 = \text{constant}$. Then it follows from Eq.(74) that $\lambda = \text{constant}$ and Eq.(75) gives $\rho_2 = 0$. Also, from EOS(3), we can say that $p_2 = 0$. This turns out exactly the same solution as in the case of EOS(1) and EOS(2).

In the second case, i.e., $k \neq -1$ when $\rho_1 \neq 0$ and $\rho_2 \neq 0$, solving Eqs.(69)-(72) simultaneously, we have $\rho_1\rho_2 = 0$. If we consider $\rho_1 = 0 = p_1$, or $\rho_2 = 0 = p_2$, we again have the same results as in EOS(1) and EOS(2).

4 Tilted Dust Case

4.1 Self-similarity of the first kind

If we set $p_1 = 0 = p_2$ in the basic Eqs.(24)-(34) for the tilted perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the first kind, Eqs.(30) and (32) immediately gives $\lambda = 0$. From the rest of the equations, Eq.(26) and Eq.(27) give rise to the two cases either $\nu = \text{constant}$ or $\rho_1 = 0 = \rho_2$. The first possibility contradicts Eq.(31) and the second possibility contradicts Eq.(28). Hence there does not exist any solution for this case.

4.2 Self-similarity of the second kind

When we take $p_1 = 0 = p_2$ in Eqs.(37)-(47) for the tilted perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the second kind, Eqs.(39) and (40) immediately gives either $\nu = \text{constant}$ or $\rho_1 = 0 = \rho_2$. This again leads to the contradiction.

4.3 Self-similarity of zeroth kind

In this case, we also have contradiction and consequently there is no solution.

4.4 Self-similarity of infinite kind

In this case we take $p_1 = 0 = p_2$ in Eqs.(69)-(79) for the tilted perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the infinite kind. Eqs.(71) and (72) imply that either $\nu = \text{constant}$ or $\rho_1 = 0 = \rho_2$. In the first case, Eq.(77) directly gives $\lambda = \text{constant}$ and Eqs.(74) and (75) show that $\rho_1 = 0 = \rho_2$ and hence we have a Minkowski spacetime. For the second case, Eq.(74) implies that $\lambda = \text{constant}$ and we are left with Eq.(79) only which gives $\ddot{\nu} + \dot{\nu}^2 = 0$. This yields exactly the similar result as for the perfect fluid with self-similarity of the infinite kind using EOS(1) and EOS(2).

5 Orthogonal Perfect Fluid Case

5.1 Self-similarity of the first kind

Here we discuss self-similar solutions for the orthogonal perfect fluid case. Firstly we consider the self-similarity of the first kind. In this case, the self-similar variable is $\xi = t$ and the plane symmetric spacetime becomes

$$ds^2 = x^2 e^{2\nu(t)} dt^2 - dx^2 - x^2 e^{2\lambda(t)} (dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (85)$$

EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field gives the following set of equations

$$e^{2\nu}(1 + \rho) = \lambda'^2, \quad (86)$$

$$e^{2\nu}(3 - p) = 3\lambda'^2 + 2\lambda'' - 2\lambda'\nu', \quad (87)$$

$$e^{2\nu}(1 - p) = \lambda'' + \lambda'^2 - \lambda'\nu', \quad (88)$$

$$2\lambda'(\rho + p) = -\rho'_1, \quad (89)$$

$$\rho + 3p = 0, \quad (90)$$

where prime represents derivative with respect to $\xi = t$. Eq.(90) gives us an equation of state for this system of equations. Using this EOS in Eq.(89), we can get the value of λ' in terms of pressure as $\lambda' = -\frac{3p'}{4p}$. Also, using this value of λ' in Eq.(86), we can have the value of $e^{2\nu}$ in terms of pressure as $e^{2\nu} = \frac{9p_1'^2}{16p_1^2(1-3p_1)^2}$. Solving Eqs.(87) and (88) simultaneously, we get contradiction to the value of $e^{2\nu}$. This implies that no solution exist for this case.

5.2 Self-similarity of the second kind

Now we consider the self-similarity of the second kind. For this case, the self-similar variable is given by t . The plane symmetric spacetime takes the form

$$ds^2 = x^{2\alpha} e^{2\nu(t)} dt^2 - dx^2 - x^2 e^{2\lambda(t)} (dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (91)$$

EFEs imply that the quantities ρ and p must be of the form

$$\kappa\rho = x^{-2}\rho_1(\xi) + x^{-2\alpha}\rho_2(\xi), \quad (92)$$

$$\kappa p = x^{-2}p_1(\xi) + x^{-2\alpha}p_2(\xi), \quad (93)$$

where $\xi = t$. We note that the solution is always singular at $x = 0$ which corresponds to the physical center. When the EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field are satisfied for $O[(x)^0]$ and $O[(x)^{-2-2\alpha}]$ terms separately, we obtain a set of ordinary differential equations. These are given as

$$\rho_1 = -1, \quad (94)$$

$$\rho_2 = e^{-2\nu}\lambda'^2, \quad (95)$$

$$0 = (1 - \alpha)\lambda', \quad (96)$$

$$p_1 = 1 + 2\alpha, \quad (97)$$

$$e^{2\nu}p_2 = -2\lambda'' + 2\lambda'\nu' - 3\lambda'^2, \quad (98)$$

$$p_1 = \alpha^2, \quad (99)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}p_2 = \lambda'' - \lambda'\nu' + \lambda'^2, \quad (100)$$

$$2\lambda'(\rho_1 + p_1) = -\rho_1', \quad (101)$$

$$2\lambda'(\rho_2 + p_2) = -\rho_2', \quad (102)$$

$$\alpha(\rho_1 + p_1) = -2p_1, \quad (103)$$

$$\rho_2 + 3p_2 = 0. \quad (104)$$

Eq.(104) gives us an EOS for this system. Since $p_1 = 0$ contradicts Eq.(99) and $\rho_1 = 0$ contradicts Eq.(94), a vacuum spacetime is not compatible with this case. Eq.(96) gives us $\lambda = \text{constant}$ and also Eq.(95) shows that $\rho_2 = 0$. From Eq.(104), we have $p_2 = 0$ and also Eqs.(97) and (99) yield $\alpha = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$ and consequently $p_1 = 3 \pm 2\sqrt{2}$. These values contradict our basic equations and hence we have no solution in this case as well.

5.3 Self-similarity of the zeroth kind

The self-similar variable for this kind is also t and the metric for the plane symmetry becomes

$$ds^2 = e^{2\nu(t)} dt^2 - dx^2 - x^2 e^{2\lambda(t)} (dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (105)$$

In the case of self-similarity of the zeroth kind, the basic equations for perfect fluid gives us a contradiction and hence we have no solution in this case.

5.4 Self-similarity of the infinite kind

For the self-similarity of the infinite kind, self-similar variable is $\xi = t$. The metric for this kind takes the following form

$$ds^2 = e^{2\nu(t)} dt^2 - dx^2 - e^{2\lambda(t)} (dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (106)$$

A set of ordinary differential equations in terms of ξ is obtained from EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field

$$2\lambda'(\rho + p) = -\rho', \quad (107)$$

$$\rho = \lambda'^2 e^{-2\nu}, \quad (108)$$

$$-e^{2\nu} p = 2\lambda'' + 3\lambda'^2 - 2\lambda'\nu', \quad (109)$$

$$-e^{2\nu} p = \lambda'' + \lambda'^2 - \lambda'\nu'. \quad (110)$$

We use EOS(3) to solve the set of Eqs.(107)-(110) as this is the only compatible equation of state for this kind.

5.4.1 EOS(3)

This equation of state is given by

$$p = k\rho. \quad (111)$$

Using EOS(3) in Eqs.(109) and (110), we have

$$-e^{2\nu}k\rho = 2\lambda'' + 3\lambda'^2 - 2\lambda'\nu', \quad (112)$$

$$-e^{2\nu}k\rho = \lambda'' + \lambda'^2 - \lambda'\nu'. \quad (113)$$

Now putting the value of λ'^2 in the above two equations from Eq.(108) and using Eqs.(112) and Eq.(113), we have

$$-e^{2\nu}\rho(k+1) = 0. \quad (114)$$

This gives two possibilities either $\rho = 0$ or $k = -1$. For the first possibility we immediately get from EOS(3) that $p = 0$ and from Eq.(108) $\lambda = \text{constant}$. Thus we obtain the following vacuum solution

$$\nu = \nu(t), \quad \lambda = a_0, \quad \rho = 0 = p. \quad (115)$$

For the second possibility, EOS(3) becomes $\rho + p = 0$. Solving Eqs.(107)-(110) simultaneously, we obtain the same solution as for the first possibility.

6 Orthogonal Dust case

6.1 Self-similarity of the first kind

In this case we substitute $p = 0$ in the basic equations for the orthogonal perfect fluid case with the self-similarity of first kind. Eq.(90) immediately shows that the resulting spacetime must be vacuum. Eq.(86) gives $e^{2\nu} = \lambda'^2$. Also, on solving Eqs.(87) and (88) we reach at $e^{2\nu} = \lambda'^2$. For this case, we have the following solution

$$\lambda = \int e^\nu dt, \quad \rho = 0 = p. \quad (116)$$

For $\nu = 0$, this leads to the following solution

$$\lambda = t, \quad \nu = 0, \quad \rho = 0 = p. \quad (117)$$

6.2 Self-similarity of the second and zeroth kinds

For the self-similarity of second and zeroth kinds we arrive at the contradiction and hence there is no solution.

6.3 Self-similarity of the infinite kind

We set $p = 0$ in the basic equations for the orthogonal perfect fluid case for the self-similarity of infinite kind. Eqs.(109) and (110) give $\lambda = \text{constant}$. Now Eq.(108) ensures us that the resulting spacetime must be vacuum. Hence we have the same solution as in the case of orthogonal perfect fluid case of self similarity of infinite kind. This is given by

$$\nu = \nu(t), \quad \lambda = a_0, \quad \rho = 0 = p. \quad (118)$$

7 Parallel Perfect Fluid Case

Since we do not have self-similar variable for the first, second and zeroth kinds in the parallel perfect fluid case hence there does not exist any solution for these kinds.

7.1 Self-similarity of the infinite kind

For the self-similarity of the infinite kind, self-similar variable is $\xi = x$. The metric for the plane symmetry of the infinite kind reduces to

$$ds^2 = e^{2\nu(x)} dt^2 - dx^2 - e^{2\lambda(x)} (dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (119)$$

A set of ordinary differential equations in terms of ξ is obtained from EFEs and the equations of motion for the matter field are

$$-\rho = 3\lambda'^2 + 2\lambda'', \quad (120)$$

$$p = \lambda'^2 + 2\lambda'\nu', \quad (121)$$

$$p = \lambda'' + \lambda'^2 + \lambda'\nu' + \nu'' + \nu'^2, \quad (122)$$

$$p' = \nu'(\rho + p). \quad (123)$$

Here prime (') represents derivative with respect to $\xi = x$. We use EOS(3) to solve the set of Eqs.(120)-(123).

7.1.1 EOS(3)

This equation of state is given by

$$p = k\rho. \quad (124)$$

Clearly Eq.(123) gives the value of ν' in terms of ρ as $\nu' = \frac{k\rho'}{(k+1)\rho}$. Now we assume, for the sake of simplicity, ρ as linear function of ξ . When we use this assumption in Eq.(120), we obtain the value of λ but Eq.(121) gives a contradiction. Hence there does not exist any self-similar solution for the parallel perfect fluid case.

8 Parallel Dust case

Again we do not have any self-similar variable for the first, second and zeroth kinds in this case. Consequently, there does not exist any solution for these kinds.

8.1 Self-similarity of the infinite kind

When we set $p = 0$ in the basic equations for the parallel perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the infinite kind, Eq.(123) immediately shows that either $\nu = \text{constant}$ or $\rho = 0$. In the first case, Eqs.(120) and (121) show that the resulting spacetime is *Minkowski*. In the second case, where $\rho = 0$, Eq.(121) shows that either $\lambda' = 0$ or $\lambda' = -2\nu'$. When $\lambda' = 0$, Eq.(122) gives the following vacuum solution

$$\nu = \ln(c_1(\xi - c_2)), \quad \lambda = \text{constant} = c_0, \quad \rho = 0 = p. \quad (125)$$

For the case $\lambda' = -2\nu'$, Eqs.(120) and (122) gives the following vacuum solution

$$\nu = -\frac{1}{3}\ln(3\xi - 2c_1), \quad \lambda = \ln(c_2(3\xi - 2c_1)^{\frac{2}{3}}), \quad \rho = 0 = p. \quad (126)$$

9 Conclusion

We have classified KSS perfect fluid and dust solutions for the cases when KSS vector is tilted, orthogonal and parallel to the fluid flow by using EOS(1), EOS(2) and EOS(3). In most of the cases, we solve the governing equations to get solutions but few exceptions are there. We obtain total of six independent plane symmetric self-similar solutions. The parallel case gives a contradiction for the first, second, and zeroth kinds hence there is no self-similar solution in these cases.

For the tilted perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the first kind, we have contradictory results and hence no solution in this case. For the self-similarity of the second and zeroth kinds with EOS(1) and EOS(2), we again reach at a contradiction. For self-similarity of the second and zeroth kinds with EOS(3), we have a contradiction in all cases. For self-similarity of the infinite kind, we find that the spacetime must be vacuum for EOS(1) and EOS(2). It also turns out a vacuum solution for EOS(3) when $k = -1$. For the case when $k \neq -1$ and either $\rho_1 = 0$ or $\rho_2 = 0$, we again have vacuum spacetime.

In the tilted dust case with self-similarity of the infinite kind, we obtain two possibilities. One possibility gives a vacuum solution and another case yields Minkowski spacetime. There is no solution in any other kind.

For the orthogonal perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the first kind, we have no solution. In the orthogonal perfect fluid case with self-similarity of the second kind and zeroth kind, we have a contradiction and hence there is no solution in these cases. For the infinite kind with EOS(3) we have a vacuum solution with arbitrary ν and constant λ .

In orthogonal dust case with self-similarity of the first kind, we have a vacuum spacetime, where ν and λ are related with each other. For $\nu = 0$, λ simply becomes t . We have contradictory results for the self-similarity of the second and zeroth kinds hence there is no solution in this case. We obtain the same solution in the infinite kind as for the orthogonal perfect fluid of the infinite kind. In the parallel perfect fluid case, there does not exist any self-similar solution. However, we obtain three different solutions for the parallel dust case with self-similarity of the infinite kind.

We would like to mention here that this paper has been focussed on a classification of plane symmetric kinematic self-similar solutions under certain restrictions. A classification for the most general plane symmetric kinematic self-similar solutions is under investigation [23] and will appear somewhere else.

The results can be summarized in the form of tables given below:

Table 1. Perfect fluid kinematic self-similar solutions.

Self-similarity	Solution
First kind (tilted)	None
First kind (orthogonal)	None
First kind (parallel)	None
Second kind (tilted)(EOS(1))	None
Second kind (tilted)(EOS(2))	None
Second kind (tilted)(EOS(3))	None
Second kind (orthogonal)	None
Second kind (parallel)	None
Zeroth kind (tilted)(EOS(1))	None
Zeroth kind (tilted)(EOS(2))	None
Zeroth kind (tilted)(EOS(3))	None
Zeroth kind (orthogonal)	None
Zeroth kind (parallel)	None
Infinite kind (tilted)(EOS(1))	vacuum solution given by Eq.(82)
Infinite kind (tilted)(EOS(2))	vacuum solution given by Eq.(82)
Infinite kind (tilted)(EOS(3))	vacuum solution given by Eq.(82)
Infinite kind (orthogonal)(EOS(3))	vacuum solution given by Eq.(115)
Infinite kind (parallel)(EOS(3))	None

Table 2. Dust kinematic self-similar solutions .

Self-similarity	Solution
First kind (tilted)	None
First kind (orthogonal)	solution given by Eq.(116)
First kind (parallel)	None
Second kind (tilted)	None
Second kind (orthogonal)	None
Second kind (parallel)	None
Zeroth kind (titled)	None
Zeroth kind (orthogonal)	None
Zeroth kind (parallel)	None
Infinite kind (tilted) (case1)	Minkowski
Infinite kind (tilted) (case2)	vacuum solution given by Eq.(82)
Infinite kind (orthogonal)	vacuum solution given by Eq.(115)
Infinite kind (parallel)(case1)	Minkowski
Infinite kind (parallel)(case2)	vacuum solution given by Eq.(125)
Infinite kind (parallel)(case3)	vacuum solution given by Eq.(126)

Acknowledgment

One of us (SA) acknowledge the enabling role of the Higher Education Commission Islamabad, Pakistan and appreciate its financial support through *Merit Scholarship Scheme for Ph.D. Studies in Science and Technology (200 Scholarships)*.

References

- [1] Noether, E. Nachr, Akad. Wiss. Gottingen, II, Math. Phys. **K12**(1918)235;
Davis, W.R. and Katzin, G.H. Am. J. Phys. **30**(1962)750;
Petrov, A.Z.: *Einstein Spaces* (Pergamon, Oxford University Press, 1969);
Hojman, L. Nunez, L. Patino, A. and Rago, H.: J. Math. Phys. **27** (1986)281.
- [2] Carr, B.J.: Phys. Rev. **D62**(2000)044022.
- [3] Carr, B.J. and Coley, A.A.: Phys. Rev. **D62**(2000)044023.
- [4] Maeda, H., Harada, T., Iguchi, H. and Okuyama, N.: Phys. Rev. **D66**(2002)027501.
- [5] Maeda, H., Harada, T., Iguchi, H. and Okuyama, N.: Prog. Theor. Phys. **108**(2002)819-851.
- [6] Maeda, H., Harada, T., Iguchi, H. and Okuyama, N.: Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**(2003)25-63.
- [7] Sharif, M.: J. Math. Phys. **44**(2003)5141; *ibid* **45**(2004)1518; *ibid* **45**(2004)1532.
- [8] Sharif, M. and Aziz, Sehar: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D14**(2005)1527;
Kinematic Self-Similar Solutions: Proceedings of the 11th Regional Conference on Mathematical Physics and IPM Spring Conference Tehran-Iran, May, 3-6, 2004, eds. Rahvar, S., Sadooghi, N. and Shojai, F. (World Scientific, 2005)111.
- [9] Penston, M.V.: Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. **144**(1969)425.
Larson, R.B.: Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. **145**(1969)271.
Shu, F.H.: Astrophys. J. **214**(1977)488.
Hunter, C.: Astrophys. J. **218**(1977)834.
- [10] Cahill, M.E. and Taub, A.H.: Commun. Math. Phys. **21**(1971)1.
- [11] Carter, B. and Henriksen, R.N.: Annales De Physique **14**(1989)47.
- [12] Carter, B. and Henriksen, R.N.: J. Math. Phys. **32**(1991)2580.

- [13] Coley, A.A.: Class. Quant. Grav. **14**(1997)87.
- [14] McIntosh, C.B.G.: Gen. Relat. Gravit. **7**(1975)199.
- [15] Benoit, P.M. and Coley, A.A.: Class. Quant. Grav. **15**(1998)2397.
- [16] Carr, B.J., Coley, A.A., Golaith, M., Nilsson, U.S. and Uggla, C.: Class. Quant. Grav. **18**(2001)303.
- [17] Carr, B.J., Coley, A.A., Golaith, M., Nilsson, U.S. and Uggla, C.: Phys. Rev. **D61**(2000)081502.
- [18] Coley, A.A. and Golaith, M.: Class. Quant. Grav. **17**(2000)2557.
- [19] Sharif, M. and Aziz, Sehar: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D14**(2005)73.
- [20] Sharif, M. and Aziz, Sehar: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A20**(2005)7579.
- [21] Sharif, M. and Aziz, Sehar: J. Korean Physical Society **47**(2005)757.
- [22] Stephani, H., Kramer, D., Maccallum, M., Hoenselaers, C. and Herlt, E. *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- [23] Sharif, M. and Aziz, Sehar: work in progress.